

Classical Isomorphisms for Quantum Groups

Vidyut Jain and Oleg Ogievetsky ¹

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik und Astrophysik
- Werner-Heisenberg-Institut für Physik
P.O.Box 40 12 12 , D - 8000 Munich 40, Germany

Abstract

The expressions for the $\hat{R}$ -matrices for the quantum groups $SO_{q^2}(5)$ and $SO_q(6)$ in terms of the $\hat{R}$ -matrices for $Sp_q(2)$ and $SL_q(4)$ are found, and the local isomorphisms of the corresponding quantum groups are established.

¹On leave of absence from P.N.Lebedev Physical Institute, Theoretical Department, 117924 Moscow, Leninsky prospect 53, CIS.

1. Introduction.

Classical isomorphisms are isomorphisms between low dimensional groups of different series. The list of classical isomorphisms includes $SL(2) \sim SO(3) \sim Sp(1)$, $SL(2) \times SL(2) \sim SO(4)$, $Sp(2) \sim SO(5)$ and $SL(4) \sim SO(6)$.

All the orthogonal versions of these groups appear in four dimensional physics. They are: the group of spatial rotations, the Lorentz group, the group of transformations of compactified Minkowski space and the conformal group. The classical isomorphisms provide information about the spinor representations of these groups.

Currently there is much interest in building a realistic physical model based on quantum groups. Therefore, one needs to explore q -generalizations of these isomorphisms. The q -Lorentz group and q -Minkowski space were studied in [1–5]. This concerns the isomorphism $SL(2) \times SL(2) \sim SO(4)$. The time coordinate in the q -Minkowski space is central. One can therefore consistently set it to zero, which provides a q -generalization of the isomorphism $SL(2) \sim SO(3)$. The existence of the q -deformed ϵ -tensor for $SL(2)$ explains the isomorphism $SL(2) \sim Sp(1)$.

The aim of the present article is to establish q -generalizations of the two last isomorphisms,

$$Sp(2) \sim SO(5) \text{ and } SL(4) \sim SO(6). \quad (1)$$

Quantum groups are conveniently described by their coaction upon “ q -deformed” vector spaces [6]. In describing the q -Lorentz group one builds the q -Minkowski space out of two copies of the two dimensional q -space for $SL_q(2)$. We follow the same strategy. The q -vector spaces for $Sp_q(2)$ and $SL_q(4)$ are well known [7]. To obtain the isomorphisms (1) on the classical level, one considers the action of $SL(4)$ on the antisymmetric tensor product of two vectors, and the action of $Sp(2)$ on the traceless part of the antisymmetric product. For quantum spaces this is not enough, and one has to find the commutation relations preserved by this action. There are in general several possibilities for such commutation relations and each of them provides an $\hat{R}$ -matrix for bivectors. The one we choose is different from the q -Minkowski case. Our choice of the $\hat{R}$ -matrix is motivated by its compatibility with the projector onto the antisymmetric tensor product of two vectors. This is discussed in Section 2. In Section 3 we demonstrate the coincidence of the resulting $\hat{R}$ -matrix in the $SL_q(4)$ case with the $\hat{R}$ -matrix of $SO_q(6)$. In Section 4 the isomorphism of $Sp_q(2)$ and $SO_{q^2}(5)$ is established. Note that unlike the previous case, here we obtain SO_{q^2} and not SO_q . The check of automorphisms involves a direct comparison of $\hat{R}$ -matrices; we did not discover elegant arguments to deduce the isomorphisms.

The general comparison of different $\hat{R}$ -matrices in higher dimensions is left to a future publication. In addition, we will discuss elsewhere the real forms of the isomorphisms above.

2. $\hat{R}$ -matrix for bivectors

First we briefly recall the construction of classical local isomorphisms $\mathrm{Sp}(2) \sim \mathrm{SO}(5)$ and $\mathrm{SL}(4) \sim \mathrm{SO}(6)$. Denote by $V_{\mathrm{Sp}(2)}$, $V_{\mathrm{SL}(4)}$ the defining representations of the corresponding groups. In both cases the action preserves the tensor ϵ_{ijkl} . For the Sp case the ϵ -tensor can be built from the invariant symplectic tensor ω_{ij} . The space of antisymmetric bivectors $\mathcal{A} = \{a^{[ij]}\}$ is six dimensional. The ϵ -tensor defines the scalar product on $\mathcal{A}$. This gives a homomorphism from $\mathrm{SL}(4)$ to $\mathrm{SO}(6)$. Discreteness of its kernel and equality of the dimensions of the groups shows that it is a local isomorphism.

For $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$ the space $\mathcal{A}$ is reducible. It decomposes into the space of tensors proportional to ω and the space $\mathcal{A}_0$ of tensors with zero trace, $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{a^{[ij]}, \omega_{ji}a^{[ij]} = 0\}$. Therefore here we have a homomorphism from $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$ to $\mathrm{SO}(5)$. Again comparison of dimensions shows that it is a local isomorphism.

We now turn to quantum groups. The coordinates x^i of the space V do not commute. Their commutation relations are given in both cases by

$$\hat{R}_{kl}^{ij} x^k x^l = q x^i x^j \quad (2)$$

for the corresponding $\hat{R}$ -matrices [7]. The $\mathrm{SL}(4)$ $\hat{R}$ -matrix decomposes into two projectors,

$$\hat{R} = qP^S - q^{-1}P^A, \quad (3)$$

while for $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$ we have

$$\hat{R} = qP^S - q^{-1}P^{A_0} - q^{-5}P^\omega. \quad (4)$$

Here P^S projects on symmetric tensors, P^A (P^{A_0}) projects on antisymmetric tensors for $\mathrm{SL}_q(4)$ (antisymmetric traceless tensors for $\mathrm{Sp}_q(2)$), and P^ω projects on the q -symplectic tensor.

These decompositions immediately provide the 6- and 5-dimensional spaces needed for constructions of the isomorphisms. For $\mathrm{SL}_q(4)$ we consider the 6-dimensional space $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of tensors $a^{[ij]}$ satisfying

$$P^A a = a, \quad (5)$$

while for $\mathrm{Sp}_q(2)$ we have the 5-dimensional space $\mathcal{A}_0$ of tensors satisfying

$$P^{A_0} a = a. \quad (6)$$

On the classical level the components $a^{[ij]}$ commute and isomorphisms are established by considering transformation properties of $a^{[ij]}$. The novel feature of quantum spaces is the noncommutativity of $a^{[ij]}$. To complete the description of the spaces $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_0$ we must fix commutation relations between $a^{[ij]}$. Moreover, we demand that these relations are given in terms of some $\hat{R}$ -matrix.

In finding such $\hat{R}$ -matrices we follow the strategy of [1–5]. Namely, we consider $a^{[ij]}$ as composite objects built from several copies of the original quantum space. Let x^i and

$\tilde{x}^i$ be two copies of the original q -space. In order to ensure covariance and consistency we demand that the commutation relations between x and $\tilde{x}$ have the form

$$\tilde{x}^i x^j = \gamma (\hat{R}^{\pm 1})_{kl}^{ij} x^k \tilde{x}^l, \quad (7)$$

where γ is some constant.

The relations for coordinates x^i of a single q -space are described by projectors comprising an $\hat{R}$ -matrix. In contrast, relations (7) make use of the entire $\hat{R}$ -matrix.

We now build a tensor t^{ij} out of two copies x and y of the original q -space,

$$t^{ij} = x^i y^j. \quad (8)$$

According to the above arguments, an $\hat{R}$ -matrix for t^{ij} is specified by commutation relations between t^{ij} and another copy $\tilde{t}^{ij}$. Therefore, we have to introduce two more copies $\tilde{x}^i$ and $\tilde{y}^i$ of the original q -space and to define $\tilde{t}^{ij} = \tilde{x}^i \tilde{y}^j$. Fixing the relations between the tilded and untilded copies of the original q -spaces, we find relations between t and $\tilde{t}$.

For the quantum Minkowski space [1–5] this procedure resulted in two $\hat{R}$ -matrices. They have the form

$$\hat{R}_{23} \hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{34} \hat{R}_{23}^{-1} \text{ and } \hat{R}_{23} \hat{R}_{12}^{-1} \hat{R}_{34} \hat{R}_{23}^{-1}. \quad (9)$$

Here the standard tensor notations are used. For instance, $\hat{R}_{23}$ is the operator

$$(\hat{R}_{23})_{abcd}^{ijkl} = \delta_a^i \hat{R}_{bc}^{jk} \delta_d^l \quad (10)$$

acting in $V \otimes V \otimes V \otimes V$.

Our aim is to find the closed relations for the antisymmetric part $a^{[ij]}$ of the tensor t^{ij} . For choices (9) the commutation relations between a and $\tilde{a}$ are not closed. They include other projections of the tensors t and $\tilde{t}$. This follows from the fact that the matrices (9) do not commute with $P_{12}^A P_{34}^A$. However there is another $\hat{R}$ -matrix which commutes with $P_{12} P_{34}$ for any P of $\hat{R}$. It has the form

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34} = \hat{R}_{23} \hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{34} \hat{R}_{23}. \quad (11)$$

We denote it by $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ to avoid confusion with the original $\hat{R}$ -matrix.

Lemma 1. $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34}$ satisfies the Yang–Baxter relation

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{34\ 56} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{34\ 56} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{34\ 56}. \quad (12)$$

Lemma 2. For and projector P of $\hat{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{12} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34} &= \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34} P_{34}, \\ P_{34} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34} &= \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34} P_{12}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Using the Yang–Baxter relation for $\hat{R}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{23}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{34\ 56}\hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{34} &= \hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{56}\hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{34} \\
&= \hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{45}(\hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{23})\hat{R}_{56}\hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{34} \\
&= \hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{56}\hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{34} \\
&= (\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{34})\hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{56}(\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{34}) \\
&= \hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{56}\hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{45} \\
&= \hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{23}(\hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{56}\hat{R}_{45})\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{45} \\
&= \hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{56}\hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{56}\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{45} \\
&= \hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{56}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{23\ 45}\hat{R}_{56}\hat{R}_{45}.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{34\ 56}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34} &= \hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{12}(\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{23}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{34\ 56}\hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{34})\hat{R}_{12}\hat{R}_{23} \\
&= \hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{12}\hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{56}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{23\ 45}\hat{R}_{56}\hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{12}\hat{R}_{23} \\
&= \hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{56}(\hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{12}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{23\ 45}\hat{R}_{12}\hat{R}_{23})\hat{R}_{56}\hat{R}_{45} \\
&= \hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{56}\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{45}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34}\hat{R}_{45}\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{56}\hat{R}_{45} \\
&= \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{34\ 56}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{34\ 56},
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

which is the Yang–Baxter equation for $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$.

Proof of Lemma 2. The Yang–Baxter equation for $\hat{R}$ implies

$$P_{12}\hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{12} = \hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{12}P_{23} \tag{16}$$

for any projector P of $\hat{R}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{34}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34} &= (P_{34}\hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{34})\hat{R}_{12}\hat{R}_{23} = \hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{34}(P_{23}\hat{R}_{12}\hat{R}_{23}) \\
&= \hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{12}\hat{R}_{23}P_{12} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34}P_{12},
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

as stated. The other relation is similarly derived.

Corollary 1.

$$P_{12}P_{34}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34}P_{12}P_{34}. \tag{18}$$

Corollary 2.

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34}^P = P_{12}P_{34}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34} \tag{19}$$

satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation.

Proof of Corollary 1. This is an immediate consequence of (13).

Proof of Corollary 2. Multiplying the Yang–Baxter equation for $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34}$ by $P_{12}P_{34}P_{56}$ from either the left or right and using Lemma 2 we immediately obtain the Yang–Baxter equation for $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12\ 34}^P$.

To produce the $\hat{R}$ -matrix (11) as a result of commutation relations between bivectors we choose the commutation relations between untilded and tilded quantities to be

$$x^1 \tilde{x}^2 = \hat{R}_{12} \tilde{x}^1 x^2, \quad x^1 \tilde{y}^2 = \hat{R}_{12} \tilde{y}^1 x^2, \quad y^1 \tilde{x}^2 = \hat{R}_{12} \tilde{x}^1 y^2, \quad y^1 \tilde{y}^2 = \hat{R}_{12} \tilde{y}^1 y^2. \quad (20)$$

In general, one can add four arbitrary numerical factors on the RHSs of (20). However, they are not essential for the purposes of the present paper, and we will not introduce them in what follows. Relations (20) lead to the relations

$$t^{12} \tilde{t}^{34} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12 \ 34} \tilde{t}^{12} t^{34}, \quad (21)$$

which are consistent by Lemma 1. By Corollary 1, the objects

$$t_P^{12} = P_{12} x^1 y^2, \quad \tilde{t}_P^{12} = P_{12} \tilde{x}^1 \tilde{y}^2, \quad (22)$$

for any P of $\hat{R}$, satisfy the commutation relations

$$t_P^{12} \tilde{t}_P^{34} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12 \ 34}^P \tilde{t}_P^{12} t_P^{34}. \quad (23)$$

These relations are seen to be consistent by Corollary 2.

Under the group action we have the transformation laws

$$x \rightarrow Tx, \quad y \rightarrow Ty, \quad \tilde{x} \rightarrow T\tilde{x}, \quad \tilde{y} \rightarrow T\tilde{y}. \quad (24)$$

The quantum matrix elements T_j^i satisfy the relations

$$\hat{R}_{12} T_1 T_2 = T_1 T_2 \hat{R}_{12}. \quad (25)$$

For any P a polynomial in $\hat{R}$, the tensor product objects transform as

$$t_{12}^P \rightarrow T_1 T_2 P_{12} t_{12}^P = T_{12}^P t_{12}^P. \quad (26)$$

Here we have defined $T_{12}^P = T_1 T_2 P_{12}$, a tensor product quantum matrix.

Proposition. The elements of T_{12}^P satisfy the commutation relations

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12 \ 34}^P T_{12}^P T_{34}^P = T_{12}^P T_{34}^P \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{12 \ 34}^P \quad (27)$$

Proof. Follows from the commutation relations for elements of T .

Therefore, to demonstrate the local isomorphisms we need only to show the coincidence of the corresponding $\hat{R}$ -matrices.

In this section we have seen that the consideration of t as a secondary object built from x and y leads to several different $\hat{R}$ -matrices. Direct inspection shows that $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ is the unique $\hat{R}$ -matrix (up to taking the inverse) satisfying (13). We do not give more precise statements about the possible choices of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ -matrices since our aim here is only to establish the isomorphisms of low dimensional quantum groups.

3. $\text{SL}_q(4) \sim \text{SO}_q(6)$.

In this section we investigate the $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ -matrix

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]} = \hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{12}\hat{R}_{23}P_{12}^AP_{34}^A \quad (28)$$

for the $\text{SL}(n)$ case in some detail, and display explicitly the isomorphism between $\text{SO}_q(6)$ and $\text{SL}_q(4)$. Eq. (28) corresponds to (19) for $P = P^A$ and gives the commutation relations between a and $\tilde{a}$.

Proposition. $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]}$ satisfies the cubic equation

$$(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[\square\square]} - q^2\Pi_{[\square\square]})(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[\square\square]} + \Pi_{[\square\square]})(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[\square\square]} - q^{-4}\Pi_{[\square\square]}) = 0, \quad (29)$$

where

$$\Pi_{[12][34]} = P_{12}^AP_{34}^A. \quad (30)$$

Proof. Eq. (18) implies that

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]} = \Pi_{[12][34]}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]}. \quad (31)$$

One may verify that

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]}^2 = \lambda^2 q^{-1}(q + q^{-1})\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]} + \lambda q^{-2}(q + q^{-1})^2\mathcal{K}_{[12][34]} + \Pi_{[12][34]}, \quad (32)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{[12][34]} = P_{12}^AP_{34}^A\hat{R}_{23}P_{12}^AP_{34}^A. \quad (33)$$

Next, we find

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]}\mathcal{K}_{[12][34]} = \lambda\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]} + q^{-2}\mathcal{K}_{[12][34]}. \quad (34)$$

Multiplying eq. (32) for $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[\square\square]}^2$ once again by $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[\square\square]}$ we get an expression for $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[\square\square]}^3$ which contains $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[\square\square]}$, $\mathcal{K}_{[\square\square]}$ and $\Pi_{[\square\square]}$ linearly. The cubic equation involving only $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ follows immediately. The proof is finished.

If some combination of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[\square\square]}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{[\square\square]}$ is zero or $\Pi_{[\square\square]}$, then $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[\square\square]}$ satisfies a characteristic equation of lower degree. However, formulas (39) below for the traces of the projectors show that this does not happen when $n > 3$.

From the characteristic equation for $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[\square\square]}$ we find the projector decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]} &= q^2\mathcal{P}_{[12][34]}^S - \mathcal{P}_{[12][34]}^A + q^{-4}\mathcal{P}_{[12][34]}^T, \\ \Pi_{[12][34]} &= \mathcal{P}_{[12][34]}^S + \mathcal{P}_{[12][34]}^A + \mathcal{P}_{[12][34]}^T, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{[12][34]}^S &= \frac{(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]} + \Pi_{[12][34]})(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]} - q^{-4}\Pi_{[12][34]})}{q^4(1 + q^{-2})(1 - q^{-6})}, \\ \mathcal{P}_{[12][34]}^A &= \frac{(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]} - q^2\Pi_{[12][34]})(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]} - q^{-4}\Pi_{[12][34]})}{(1 + q^2)(1 + q^{-4})}, \\ \mathcal{P}_{[12][34]}^T &= \frac{(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]} - q^2\Pi_{[12][34]})(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]} + \Pi_{[12][34]})}{(q^{-4} - q^2)(q^{-4} + 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Let us compute the dimensionality of these projectors. Using the cyclic property of trace, and properties of the $\text{SL}_q(n)$ $\hat{R}$ -matrix, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]} &= \text{Tr} P_{12}^A P_{34}^A \hat{R}_{23} \hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{34} \hat{R}_{23} \\
&= \frac{1}{q + q^{-1}} \text{Tr} \left[q \hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{34} - (q - q^{-1}) \hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{23} - q^{-2} \text{Tr} \hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{34} \hat{R}_{23} \right] \\
&= \frac{n(n-1)}{24q^4} \left[2q^6 n(n+1) - 3q^4(n+1)(n-2) + (n-2)(n-3) \right]. \quad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr} \mathcal{K}_{[12][34]} &= \text{Tr} P_{12}^A P_{23}^A \hat{R}_{23} P_{12}^A P_{34}^A \\
&= \frac{1}{(q + q^{-1})^2} \text{Tr} \left[q^2 \hat{R}_{23} - 2q \hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{23} + \hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{34} \hat{R}_{23} \right] \\
&= \frac{n(n-1)}{24q(q^2 + 1)} \left[q^4(5n-6)(n+1) - 4q^2 n(n-2) - (n-2)(n-3) \right]. \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

We then find,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr} \mathcal{P}_{[12][34]}^S &= \frac{1}{12} n^2(n+1)(n-1), \\
\text{Tr} \mathcal{P}_{[12][34]}^A &= \frac{1}{8} n(n+1)(n-1)(n-2), \\
\text{Tr} \mathcal{P}_{[12][34]}^T &= \frac{1}{24} n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3). \quad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

The projector decomposition of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[\square\square]}$ has the following Young tableaux representation:

$$\begin{array}{c} \hat{\mathcal{R}} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}^S \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}^A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}^T \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \times \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad (40)$$

For $n = 4$, $\mathcal{P}_{[\square\square]}^S$, $\mathcal{P}_{[\square\square]}^A$ and $\mathcal{P}_{[\square\square]}^T$ have the dimensionality 20, 15 and 1, respectively. These numbers correspond exactly to the traces of the symmetric, antisymmetric and singlet projectors, respectively, of the standard $\text{SO}_q(6)$ $\hat{R}$ -matrix [7]. Moreover, on the space of antisymmetric tensors, the projector Π takes the eigenvalue 1, and for $n = 4$ eq. (29) is the same as the usual cubic equation for $q\hat{R}_{\text{SO}_q(6)}$. Now we show that not only the characteristic equation but the $\hat{R}$ -matrices themselves are the same.

Proposition. For $n = 4$, the matrix $q^{-1}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]}$ coincides, up to a change of basis, with the standard $\text{SO}_q(6)$ $\hat{R}$ -matrix.

Proof. We explicitly find a basis in which the $\hat{R}$ -matrices coincide. The basis is

$$a^1 = x^1 y^2 - q x^2 y^1,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a^2 &= x^1 y^3 - q x^3 y^1, \\
a^3 &= x^1 y^4 - q x^4 y^1, \\
a^4 &= x^2 y^3 - q x^3 y^2, \\
-a^5 &= x^2 y^4 - q x^4 y^2, \\
a^6 &= x^3 y^4 - q x^4 y^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

These are proportional to the six objects $P_{12}^A x^1 y^2$. (In fact, $(P^A)_{kl}^{ji} x^k y^l$ for $i < j$ are proportional by the same amount to $x^i y^j - q x^j y^i$. With hindsight, we have inserted a minus sign in the definition of a^5 .) We similarly define $\tilde{a}^1$ through $\tilde{a}^6$ by putting tildes everywhere. Then, one obtains the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
a^i \tilde{a}^i &= q^2 \tilde{a}^i a^i, \\
a^i \tilde{a}^k &= q \tilde{a}^k a^i, \\
a^k \tilde{a}^i &= q \tilde{a}^i a^k + (q^2 - 1) \tilde{a}^k a^i, \\
a^6 \tilde{a}^1 &= \tilde{a}^1 a^6, \\
a^5 \tilde{a}^2 &= \tilde{a}^2 a^5 - q^{-1} (q^2 - 1) \tilde{a}^1 a^6, \\
a^4 \tilde{a}^3 &= \tilde{a}^3 a^4 - q^{-1} (q^2 - 1) \tilde{a}^2 a^5 - q^{-2} (q^2 - 1) \tilde{a}^1 a^6, \\
a^3 \tilde{a}^4 &= \tilde{a}^4 a^3 - q^{-1} (q^2 - 1) \tilde{a}^2 a^5 - q^{-2} (q^2 - 1) \tilde{a}^1 a^6, \\
a^2 \tilde{a}^5 &= \tilde{a}^5 a^2 - q^{-1} (q^2 - 1) \tilde{a}^3 a^4 - q^{-1} (q^2 - 1) \tilde{a}^4 a^3 \\
&\quad + q^{-2} (q^2 - 1)^2 \tilde{a}^2 a^5 - q^{-3} (q^2 - 1) \tilde{a}^1 a^6, \\
a^1 \tilde{a}^6 &= \tilde{a}^6 a^1 - q^{-2} (q^2 - 1) \tilde{a}^3 a^4 - q^{-2} (q^2 - 1) \tilde{a}^4 a^3 \\
&\quad - q^{-3} (q^2 - 1) \tilde{a}^2 a^5 - q^{-1} (q^2 - 1) \tilde{a}^5 a^2 + q^{-4} (q^6 - q^4 - q^2 + 1) \tilde{a}^1 a^6.
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

In these expressions, $i > k$ and $i \neq 7 - k$. A direct comparison shows that relations (42) coincide with the relations

$$a^i \tilde{a}^j = q (\hat{R}_{\text{SO}_q(6)})_{kl}^{ij} \tilde{a}^k a^l \tag{43}$$

for the $\text{SO}_q(6)$ $\hat{R}$ -matrix [7]

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{R}_{kl}^{ji} &= \delta_l^j \delta_k^i (1 + \delta^{i-j} (q - 1) + \delta^{i+j-7} (q^{-1} - 1)) + \lambda \theta(l - k) \delta_k^j \delta_l^i \\
&\quad - \lambda \theta(i - k) q^{\rho_i - \rho_k} \delta_{k+l-7} \delta^{i+j-7},
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where $\lambda = q - q^{-1}$, $\rho_i = 3 - i$ for $i < 4$ otherwise $\rho_i = 4 - i$ and $\theta(i) = 1$ if $i > 0$ otherwise $\theta(i) = 0$. The proof is finished.

According to (27) this coincidence gives the isomorphism of the corresponding quantum groups.

To conclude this section we discuss the following question. The $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ -matrix (28) was obtained by moving a through $\tilde{a}$. For this we need to know neither the commutation relations between x and y nor those between $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{y}$. Thus we have two different ways of defining relations between the components of a . The first is to find the consistent relations between x and y . The second way is to use the antisymmetric projector of the $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ -matrix

(28). In general, these two ways lead to different results. However, in the case studied they coincide for any choice (7) of the xy commutation relations. This amounts to the statement that the antisymmetric projector $\mathcal{P}_{[12][34]}^A$ vanishes on $a^{[12]}a^{[34]}$. A direct calculation shows that even the factor $(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]} - q^2\Pi_{[12][34]})$ vanishes on $a^{[12]}a^{[34]} = P_{12}^A P_{34}^A x^1 y^2 x^3 y^4$, which is a stronger statement. The reason is that the expression $a^{[12]} = P_{12}^A x^1 y^2$ gives only degenerate antisymmetric tensors satisfying extra relations. Such a degeneracy occurs also in the Lorentz case. In [1,3] it was removed by introducing two extra copies ξ, η of spinors with consistent commutation relations between all the copies of the q -spinors. We could do the same in our case. However, knowing the entire $\hat{R}$ -matrix one can simplify this procedure using the following lemma.

Lemma. Assume that commutation relations for the coordinates x^i of some quantum space are given by a single projector P of an $\hat{R}$ -matrix,

$$P_{12}x^1x^2 = 0. \quad (45)$$

Let y be another copy of the same quantum space, $P_{12}y^1y^2 = 0$. Suppose that the xy relations are given by

$$y^1x^2 = -\alpha^{\mp 1}\hat{R}_{12}^{\pm 1}x^1y^2, \quad (46)$$

where α is the coefficient with which the projector P enters the $\hat{R}$ -matrix, $\hat{R} = \alpha P + \dots$. Then $x + y$ is again a quantum vector, $P_{12}(x + y)^1(x + y)^2 = 0$.

The proof is straightforward. Since the conditions of the lemma are satisfied for the q -orthogonal spaces, we remove the degeneracy by considering sums $a + a' + a'' + \dots$ with appropriate commutation relations between the copies of a . On such sums only the whole projector $\mathcal{P}_{[12][34]}^A$ vanishes.

4. $\mathrm{Sp}_q(2) \sim \mathrm{SO}_{q^2}(5)$.

The $\hat{R}$ -matrix for $\mathrm{Sp}_q(n)$ contains three projectors. The tensor t^{ij} decomposes now into three components, $t^{ij} = a^{[ij]} + \tau\omega^{ij} + s^{(ij)}$. Here ω^{ij} is the q -symplectic tensor. The component $a^{[ij]}$ is traceless, $\omega_{ji}a^{[ij]} = 0$, and the component $s^{(ij)}$ is q -symmetric.

The component a is now singled out by the projector P^{A_0} . The matrix $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]} = \hat{R}_{23}\hat{R}_{34}\hat{R}_{12}\hat{R}_{23}P_{12}^{A_0}P_{34}^{A_0}$ no longer satisfies a cubic characteristic equation for general n . This is to be expected since the rules of multiplication of Young tableaux are more complicated for symplectic spaces. It is straightforward to find the characteristic equation for $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]}$ and then its projector decomposition. Here we discuss only the case $\mathrm{Sp}_q(2)$. Then, $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{[12][34]}$ does satisfy a cubic characteristic equation which in addition coincides with the characteristic equation for $\hat{R}_{\mathrm{SO}_{q^2}(5)}$. This suggests the possibility of the local isomorphism $\mathrm{Sp}_q(2) \sim \mathrm{SO}_{q^2}(5)$, which we briefly demonstrate below.

Let

$$a^1 = x^1y^2 - qx^2y^1,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a^2 &= x^1 y^3 - q x^3 y^1, \\
a^3 &= (x^1 y^4 - x^4 y^1 - q^{-1} x^2 y^3 + q x^3 y^2) / \sqrt{1 + q^{-2}}, \\
a^4 &= x^2 y^4 - q x^4 y^2, \\
-a^5 &= x^3 y^4 - q x^4 y^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

These are proportional to the five $a^{[ij]}$. We define tilded quantities in the same manner. An explicit calculation results in the following $a\tilde{a}$ relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
a^i \tilde{a}^i &= q^2 \tilde{a}^i a^i, & i \neq 3, \\
a^i \tilde{a}^j &= \tilde{a}^j a^i + (q^2 - q^{-2}) \tilde{a}^i a^j, & i < j, i + j \neq 6, \\
a^i \tilde{a}^j &= \tilde{a}^j a^i, & i > j, i + j \neq 6, \\
a^5 \tilde{a}^1 &= q^{-2} \tilde{a}^1 a^5, \\
a^4 \tilde{a}^2 &= q^{-2} \tilde{a}^2 a^4 - q^{-2} (q^2 - q^{-2}) \tilde{a}^1 a^5, \\
a^3 \tilde{a}^3 &= \tilde{a}^3 a^3 - q^{-3} (q^2 - q^{-2}) \tilde{a}^1 a^5 - q^{-1} (q^2 - q^{-2}) \tilde{a}^2 a^4, \\
a^2 \tilde{a}^4 &= q^{-2} \tilde{a}^4 a^2 + (q^2 - q^{-2}) (1 - q^{-2}) \tilde{a}^2 a^4 - q^{-4} (q^2 - q^{-2}) \tilde{a}^1 a^5 \\
&\quad - q^{-1} (q^2 - q^{-2}) \tilde{a}^3 a^3, \\
a^1 \tilde{a}^5 &= q^{-2} \tilde{a}^5 a^1 + (q^2 - q^{-2}) (1 - q^{-6}) \tilde{a}^1 a^5 - q^{-4} (q^2 - q^{-2}) \tilde{a}^2 a^4 \\
&\quad - q^{-2} (q^2 - q^{-2}) \tilde{a}^4 a^2 - q^{-3} (q^2 - q^{-2}) \tilde{a}^3 a^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

As in the $SL(4)$ case the direct inspection shows that these relations coincide with

$$a^i \tilde{a}^j = (\hat{R}_{SO_{q^2}(5)})_{kl}^{ij} \tilde{a}^k a^l, \tag{49}$$

for the $SO_{q^2}(5)$ $\hat{R}$ -matrix [7],

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{R}_{kl}^{ji} &= \delta_l^j \delta_k^i (1 + \delta^{i-j} (q^2 - 1) + \delta^{i+j-6} (q^{-2} - 1) - \delta^{i-3} \delta^{j-3} \lambda^2) \\
&\quad + (q^2 - q^{-2}) \theta(l - k) \delta_k^j \delta_l^i - (q^2 - q^{-2}) \theta(i - k) q^{2\rho_i - 2\rho_k} \delta_{k+l-6} \delta^{i+j-6},
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_5 = 3/2, 1/2, 0, -1/2, -3/2$. Once again, the use of (27) establishes the needed local isomorphism.

Acknowledgements.

We would like to thank H. Ewen, Michael Schlieker, W. B. Schmidke, J. Wess and B. Zumino for valuable discussions.

References

- [1] U. Carow-Watamura, M. Schlieker, M. Scholl and S. Watamura, A Quantum Lorentz Group, *Int. J. Mod. Phys* **A6** (1991) 3081.
- [2] P. Podleś and S.L. Woronowicz, Quantum Deformation of Lorentz Group, *Mittag-Leffler Inst. Rep.* **20** (1988/1989).
- [3] W.B. Schmidke, J. Wess and B. Zumino, A q-deformed Lorentz Algebra, *Z. Phys.* **C52** (1991) 471.
- [4] O. Ogievetsky, W. B. Schmidke, J. Wess and B. Zumino, Six Generator q-deformed Lorentz Algebra, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **23** (1991) 233.
- [5] O. Ogievetsky, W.B. Schmidke, J. Wess and B. Zumino, q-deformed Poincare Algebra, preprint MPI-Ph/91-98.
- [6] Yu.I. Manin, Quantum Groups and Non-Commutative Geometry, Preprint Montreal University CRM-1561 (1988).
- [7] L. D. Faddeev, N. Yu. Reshetikhin, L. A. Takhtajan, Quantization of Lie Groups and Lie Algebras (in Russian), *Algebra i Analiz*, 1 (1989), 178.